REMARKS

Reconsideration and allowance of the subject application are respectfully solicited.

Claims 1 through 7, 9 through 16, 18 through 24, 26, and 38 through 45 are pending, with Claims 1, 13, 18, 26, 38, 39, 40, and 45 being independent. Claims 8, 17, 25, and 27 through 37 have been cancelled without prejudice. Claims 1, 13, 26, 38, 39, and 40 have been amended. Claims 41 through 45 have been added. The specification has been amended.

Fig. 25 was objected to and required to be designated as --PRIOR ART--. In response, Applicants have attached hereto a replacement sheet comprising that figure having been so labeled.

The title has been amended as required.

Claims 1 through 40 were variously rejected under 35 U.S.C. §§ 102 and 103 over U.S. Patent No. 6,577,347 (Nishio et al.), taken alone or in view of paragraph [0009] of the specification, which the Official Action asserts to be prior art. The Official Action also relies upon Official Notice (e.g., p. 6). Claims 1, 6, 18, 26, 31, 36, 38, and 40 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) over U.S. Patent No. 5,070,404 (Bullock et al.). All rejections are respectfully traversed.

Turning first to Claims 1, 26, 38, and 40, Claim 1 recites, inter alia, control means for controlling the image to be displayed on the display device so as to execute a script based on script information of the broadcast data signals in accordance with results of communication by the communication means. Claim 26 recites, inter alia, performing controlled modification of the image to be displayed on the display device so as to execute a script based on script information of the broadcast data signals in accordance with results of communication in

the communication step. Claim 38 recites, inter alia, display control means for controlling the display means to change the display content on the display means so as to execute a script based on script information from the data broadcasting radio waves based on results of communications with the external device. Claim 40 recites, inter alia, that the controller controls the image to be displayed on the display device so as to execute a script based on script information of the data signals in accordance with results of communication with the communicator.

Turning next to Claims 18 and 45, Claim 18 recites, <u>inter alia</u>, executing a script based on script information of the broadcast data in accordance with results of communication with a printer device (in a broadcast receiving and reproducing apparatus).

Claim 45 recites, <u>inter alia</u>, a control step of controlling an apparatus so as to execute a script based on script information of the broadcast data in accordance with results of communication by said communication step.

Turning last to Claims 13 and 39, those claims variously recite, <u>inter alia</u>, that the print data output means creates (Claim 13) or forms (Claim 39) the print data in accordance with print layout information obtained from the broadcast data signals (Claim 13) or the data broadcasting radio waves (Claim 39).

However, Applicants respectfully submit that none of Nishio et al., paragraph [0009] of the specification, Official Notice, and Bullock et al., even in the proposed combinations, assuming, arguendo, that the same could be combined, discloses or suggests at least the above-discussed claimed features as recited, inter alia, in Claims 1, 13, 18, 26, 38, 39, 40, and 45. The Official Action relies upon [0009] for showing script information; however, Applicants respectfully submit that the same constitutes neither a description nor a suggestion of the above-discussed claimed features. Further, the taking of Official Notice and the assertions

that the claimed features are known are respectfully traversed in the absence of any cited documents, which documents Applicants respectfully request be provided for their review.

MPEP 2144.03. It is further respectfully submitted that there has been no showing of any indication of motivation in the cited documents that would lead one having ordinary skill in the art to arrive at the above-discussed claimed features.

The dependent claims are also submitted to be patentable because they set forth additional aspects of the present invention and are dependent from independent claims discussed above. For example, Claim 41 recites, inter alia, that the control means comprises (a) determining means for determining, in accordance with communication by said communication means, whether the external device has a printing function, and (b) means for controlling the image to be displayed on said display device in accordance with the determination by said determining means; Claim 42 recites, inter alia, that the controlled modification step comprises (a) a step of determining, in accordance with communication of said communicating step, whether the external device has a printing function, and (b) a step of modifying the image to be displayed on the display device in accordance with the determination by said determining step; Claim 43 recites, inter alia, that the display control means comprises (a) determining means for determining, in accordance with communication by said communication means, whether the external device has a printing function, and (b) means for controlling said display means to change the display content on said display means in accordance with the determination by said determining means; and Claim 44 recites, inter alia, that the controller comprises (a) a determining unit that determines, in accordance with communication by said communicator, whether the external device has a printing function, and (b) a controlling unit that controls the image to be displayed on said display device in accordance with the determination by said

determining unit. Applicants respectfully submit that even in the proposed combinations, assuming, <u>arguendo</u>, that such could be combined, the applied documents neither disclose nor suggest at least these features. Therefore, separate and individual consideration of each

Applicants submit that this application is in condition for allowance, and a Notice of Allowance is respectfully requested.

Applicants' undersigned attorney may be reached in our Washington, D.C. office by telephone at (202) 530-1010. All correspondence should continue to be directed to our address listed below.

Respectfully submitted,

Attorney for Applicants

Daniel S. Glueck

Registration No. 37,838

FITZPATRICK, CELLA, HARPER & SCINTO 30 Rockefeller Plaza
New York, New York 10112-3800
Facsimile: (212) 218-2200

dependent claim is respectfully requested.

DSG\klm

DC_MAIN 181460v1